



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/680,265

10/07/2003

Stefan Marinca

T0461.70041US00

6382

23628 7590 04/30/2009
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206

EXAMINER

ROSSOSHEK, YELENA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2825

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/30/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/680,265	MARINCA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helen Rossoshek	2825

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 02 April 2009 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☒ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The amendment filed 04/02/2009 including filed IDS was considered, signed and entered by Examiner at this time. In response to the Applicant's representative statement that Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance of claim 31 is no longer accurate, it has to be noted that amendment to claim 31 actually corrects typographical error, which does not affect the scope of claim neither change the subject matter of the claim 31. Therefore since the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance of claim 31 substantially repeats the claim 31, the corrected text of claim 31 should be applied to the Examiner's statement of reason for allowance.

/Helen Rossoshek/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2825